

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROHINI KUMAR,
Plaintiff,
v.
SALOV NORTH AMERICA
Defendant.

Case No. 14-cv-02411-YGR

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RELIEF
FROM NON-DISPOSITIVE PRE-TRIAL
ORDER OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Re: Dkt. No. 35

SALOV NORTH AMERICA CORP.,
Defendant.

On October 14, 2014, Plaintiff Rohini Kumar filed his Motion for Relief from Non-Dispositive Pre-Trial Order of Magistrate Judge Nathanael M. Cousins (“Motion for Relief”), specifically Magistrate Judge Cousins’ Order Granting Defendant’s Request for Temporary Stay of Discovery (Dkt. No. 31). The Court, having carefully reviewed Plaintiff’s Motion for Relief and documents submitted in support thereof, as well as the underlying Order of Magistrate Judge Cousins, **DENIES** the Motion for Relief.

A non-dispositive order of a magistrate judge is reviewed by the District Court under the clearly erroneous or contrary to law standard. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A). Plaintiff has not demonstrated that the Order Granting Defendant’s Request for Temporary Stay of Discovery issued by Magistrate Judge Cousins is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. The Court has not yet ruled on Defendant’s motion to dismiss, which the Court took under submission on September 30, 2014.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 21, 2014

Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT